Section	ZOOLOGY 101 001	ZOOLOGY 151 001	ZOOLOGY 152 001	ZOOLOGY 260 001	ZOOLOGY 300 001	ZOOLOGY 301 001 Z	ZOOLOGY 335 001	ZOOLOGY 400 001	ZOOLOGY 400 002
Detail									
Total Surveyed	508	8 429	968	90	88	39	51	8	17
Total Completed	299						18		1
I understand the scientific process better as a result									1
of taking this course.	3.74	4 3.97	3.81	3.98	3.92	4.25	3.39	4.50	4.00
Overall, I would recommend this course to other									1
students.	3.51	1 3.87	3.62	4.24	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.50	4.00
The course helped me to understand the main									1
concepts in this subject area.	4.04	4 4.17	7 4.15	4.46	5 4.28	4.86	4.22	5.00	3.00
The course helped me to understand the									1
relationships among these main concepts.	3.95	5 4.12	2 4.07	4.46	5 4.19	4.59	4.44	4.50	3.00
The course helped me to understand how concepts									
presented in this course relate to those in other									
biosciences courses.	3.94	4 4.11	4.03	4.32	2 4.25	4.52	4.06	5.00	4.00
The course helped me to understand the relevance									
of this particular subject to real-world issues or									
everyday life.	3.94	4 4.09	9 4.15	4.56	5 4.08	3 4.18	4.44	4.50	4.00
This course helped to develop my ability to think	2.5	2.05	2.04	4.2	4.03	4.20	2.64	4.50	
through a problem and solve it.	3.56	6 3.83	3.81	4.24	4.03	3 4.39	3.61	4.50	4.00
This course compared favorably to others I have	2.4	- 201	2.54	4.21	2.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00
taken in the biosciences.	3.46	6 3.85	3.54	4.22	3.89	4.59	4.00	4.50	4.00
The exams and assignments were well designed to									
test the knowledge I was expected to gain in this	2 5/	9 3.98	3.78	4.10	3.86	3.77	4 22	4.50	4.00
course. I appreciate this subject better as a result of taking	3.59) 3.50	3.70	4.10	3.00	5.77	4.22	4.50	4.00
this course.	3.76	6 3.97	7 3.77	4.32	2 4.31	4.54	4.28	4.50	4.00
This course stimulated my desire to take additional	3., 0) 3.3,	5.77	7.02	7.52	7.5-	7.20	4.50	4.00
courses in this subject area.	3.75	5 3.99	3.87	4.29	9 4.08	3 4.40	4.10	4.60	3.80
Courses in this subject area.	 -	,			·· ·· -	** **	·- 		5.55
Section	ZOOLOGY 400 005	ZOOLOGY 400 007	ZOOLOGY 400 008	ZOOLOGY 400 009	ZOOLOGY 400 010	ZOOLOGY 400 011 Z	ZOOLOGY 410 001	ZOOLOGY 415 001 7	ZOOLOGY 470 001
Detail									
Total Surveyed	36						73		43
Total Completed	19	9 13	3 16	14	4 9	18	34	6	18
I understand the scientific process better as a result									
of taking this course.	4.26	6 5.00	4.13	4.57	7 4.11	4.22	3.47	4.50	4.56
Overall, I would recommend this course to other									
students.	4.74	4 4.92	4.50	4.79	9 4.44	4.50	3.38	4.33	4.44
The course helped me to understand the main									
concepts in this subject area.	4.79	9 4.92	2 4.56	4.71	1 4.56	4.28	3.74	4.33	4.61
The course helped me to understand the									
relationships among these main concepts.	4.63	3 4.92	2 4.56	4.79	9 4.56	5 4.44	3.65	4.33	4.61
The course helped me to understand how concepts									
presented in this course relate to those in other	4.6	5.00	4.50	4.70	4.44	4.22	4.00	4.22	
biosciences courses.	4.68	8 5.00	4.50	4.79	9 4.44	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.61
The course helped me to understand the relevance									
of this particular subject to real-world issues or	4.0	. 4.01	4.01	4.70	4.90	4.20	4.22	4.92	4.72
everyday life.	4.84	4 4.85	5 4.81	4.79	9 4.89	4.28	4.32	4.83	4.72

This course helped to develop my ability to think through a problem and solve it.	4.11	5.00	4.06	4.57	4.00	4.06	3.29	4.17	4.56
This course compared favorably to others I have	4.11	5.00	4.00	4.57	4.00	4.00	3.29	4.17	4.50
taken in the biosciences.	4.74	5.00	4.44	4.79	4.33	4.22	3.24	3.83	4.33
The exams and assignments were well designed to	7.77	5.00	7,77	4.73	4.55	4.22	3.24	3.03	4.55
test the knowledge I was expected to gain in this									
course.	4.68	5.00	4.38	4.71	4.00	4.28	3.41	4.00	4.22
I appreciate this subject better as a result of taking		3.00	50			20	52		
this course.	4.79	5.00	4.75	4.86	4.67	4.44	3.76	4.50	4.50
This course stimulated my desire to take additional									
courses in this subject area.	4.63	4.96	4.47	4.74	4.40	4.31	3.63	4.32	4.52
Section	ZOOLOGY 500 001 ZOOLO	GY 510 001 ZOOLO	JGY 511 001 ZOOLC	GY 511 002 200LC	OGY 520 001 ZOOLC	OGY 521 301 ZOOLO	OGY 611 001 ZOOLC	GY 612 001 200LC	GY 620 001
Detail Total Survivad	122	00	21	22	0.4	75	63	20	22
Total Surveyed Total Completed	133 47	99 55	21 12	23 9	84 55	75 34	63 37	36 24	22 8
I understand the scientific process better as a result		55	12	9	33	54	37	24	٥
of taking this course.	4.09	4.15	4.00	4.22	3.76	3.90	4.16	4.14	3.88
Overall, I would recommend this course to other	4.09	4.15	4.00	4.22	5.70	5.90	4.10	4.14	3.00
students.	3.96	4.45	4.25	4.44	3.91	4.71	4.51	4.01	4.25
The course helped me to understand the main	3.30	4.43	4.23	4.44	5.51	4.71	4.51	4.01	4.23
concepts in this subject area.	3.96	4.51	4.42	4.67	4.33	4.85	4.38	3.88	3.88
The course helped me to understand the	5.50	4.51	4.42	4.07	4.55	4.03	4.50	3.00	3.00
relationships among these main concepts.	3.96	4.49	4.50	4.78	4.27	4.63	4.38	3.97	3.88
The course helped me to understand how concepts	5.50	1.13	1.50	1.70	1,2,	1.03	1.50	3.37	3.00
presented in this course relate to those in other									
biosciences courses.	4.19	4.44	4.25	4.67	4.27	4.10	4.43	4.10	4.13
The course helped me to understand the relevance									
of this particular subject to real-world issues or									
everyday life.	4.47	4.60	4.33	4.56	4.33	4.14	4.49	4.10	4.38
This course helped to develop my ability to think									
through a problem and solve it.	3.43	4.09	4.33	4.11	3.72	4.22	3.97	4.31	3.88
This course compared favorably to others I have									
taken in the biosciences.	3.72	4.22	4.42	4.56	3.73	4.70	4.35	4.03	3.75
The exams and assignments were well designed to									
test the knowledge I was expected to gain in this									
course.	3.94	4.27	4.42	4.89	4.11	4.83	4.41	3.98	4.50
I appreciate this subject better as a result of taking									
this course.	4.09	4.56	4.75	4.33	4.20	4.83	4.46	3.93	4.00
This course stimulated my desire to take additional									
courses in this subject area.	3.98	4.38	4.37	4.52	4.06	4.49	4.35	4.04	4.05
Section	ZOOLOGY 655 001 ZOOLO	OGY 677 001 ZOOLO	OGY 725 001 ZOOLO	OGY 800 001 ZOOLO	OGY 911 001 ZOOLO	OGY 955 001 ZOOLO	OGY 957 002 ZOOLO	GY 960 001	
Detail									
Total Surveyed	16	14	16	7	18	9	5	2	
Total Completed	6	7	11	6	8	5	2	1	
I understand the scientific process better as a result									
of taking this course.	4.17	3.71	4.18	4.50	4.75	4.40	4.50	5.00	

0								
Overall, I would recommend this course to other	4.00	4.71	4.02	4.17	4.63	4.40	4.50	5.00
students.	4.00	4.71	4.82	4.17	4.03	4.40	4.50	5.00
The course helped me to understand the main	4.17	4.00	4.60	4.67	4.50	4.40	4.50	F 00
concepts in this subject area.	4.17	4.86	4.60	4.67	4.50	4.40	4.50	5.00
The course helped me to understand the	4.47			4.67				
relationships among these main concepts.	4.17	4.71	4.55	4.67	4.38	4.80	4.50	5.00
The course helped me to understand how concepts								
presented in this course relate to those in other								
biosciences courses.	4.17	4.57	4.55	4.67	4.38	4.40	5.00	4.00
The course helped me to understand the relevance								
of this particular subject to real-world issues or								
everyday life.	4.33	4.86	4.64	5.00	4.50	4.40	5.00	5.00
This course helped to develop my ability to think								
through a problem and solve it.	3.83	4.43	4.45	4.67	4.25	4.40	5.00	5.00
This course compared favorably to others I have								
taken in the biosciences.	4.00	4.71	4.64	4.00	4.75	4.20	5.00	5.00
The exams and assignments were well designed to								
test the knowledge I was expected to gain in this								
course.	4.00	4.43	4.18	4.00	4.13	3.80	5.00	4.00
I appreciate this subject better as a result of taking								
this course.	4.33	4.57	4.82	4.50	4.75	4.40	5.00	4.00
This course stimulated my desire to take additional								
courses in this subject area.	4.12	4.56	4.54	4.48	4.50	4.36	4.80	4.70

Detail	ZOOLOGY 101	ZOOLOGY 101	ZOOLOGY 101	ZOOLOGY 151	ZOOLOGY 151	ZOOLOGY 152		ZOOLOGY 152
	STANLEY, Emily	RITERS, Lauren	THOMA, Sharon	BLAIR, Seth	YAHN, Jeremiah	GILROY, Simon	•	DAMSCHEN, Ellen
Total Surveyed	50							968
Total Completed	27	2 27	2 272	189	214	292	292	162
The instructor explained the relationships among								
concepts/course topics.	3.8	8 4.1	8 4.33	3 4.33	4.17	4.49	3.97	3.90
Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	3.7	5 4.1	9 4.32	2 4.29	4.08	4.63	3.99	3.68
The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	4.1	8 4.4	7 4.59	4.81	4.56	4.82	4.75	4.44
The instructor stimulated my interest.	3.5	4 4.0	3 4.19	4.13	4.11	4.54	3.82	3.51
The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,								
and requirements clearly.	3.8	1 4.1	9 4.41	4.32	4.27	4.49	4.16	4.20
Class meetings were well planned and organized.	4.0	1 4.2	9 4.48	3 4.45	4.29	4.58	4.40	3.74
Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the				,		1.30	1.10	3.7 1
instructor.	3.7	9 4.1	7 4.31	4.36	4.13	4.49	4.20	3.69
This instructor's methods for evaluating student								
performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)								
were well designed and fair.	3.7	9 4.0	6 4.03	3 4.29	4.16	4.33	3.96	3.86
The trackment of the last of t	- £							
The instructor was available for consultation in the form		- 44	- 440		4.20	4.54	4.62	4.20
emails, questions after class, and office hours.	4.0 ge 3.8							4.20 3.86
Avera	ge 5.0	7 4.1	9 4.52	4.50	4.23	4.55	4.20	3.00
Section								
Detail	ZOOLOGY 260 001	ZOOLOGY 300 001	700L0GV 301 001					
Tatal Company d			2001001 301 001	ZOOLOGY 301 002	ZOOLOGY 335 001	ZOOLOGY 400 001	ZOOLOGY 400 002	ZOOLOGY 400 005
Total Surveyed	IVES, Anthony		N SHARMA, PRASHA			ZOOLOGY 400 001 AMANN, KURT		ZOOLOGY 400 005 Hartman, Scott
Total Completed	IVES, Anthony 9	SHARMA, PRASHA		N SHARMA, PRASHA	Nashold, Lisa	AMANN, KURT	EHRLICH, David	
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among	9	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20	N SHARMA, PRASHA) 19	Nashold, Lisa 51	AMANN, KURT 8	EHRLICH, David	Hartman, Scott
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics.	•	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3	NSHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7	N SHARMA, PRASHA) 19	Nashold, Lisa 51	AMANN, KURT 8	EHRLICH, David 17	Hartman, Scott
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	9 4 4.6	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 4.33	Nashold, Lisa 51 19 4.21	AMANN, KURT 8	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	9 4.6 4.6	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 3 4.33 3 4.67	Nashold, Lisa 51 19 4.21 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest.	9 4 4.6	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 3 4.33 3 4.67	Nashold, Lisa 51 19 4.21 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,	9 4.6 4.6 4.9	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 5 5.00	Nashold, Lisa 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly.	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86	N SHARMA, PRASHA) 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 5 5.00	Nashold, Lisa 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized.	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86	N SHARMA, PRASHA) 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 5 5.00	Nashold, Lisa 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 4.2	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 6 5.00 8 4.67	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.74 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor.	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 4.2	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 6 5.00 8 4.67	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.74 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 4.2	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	N SHARMA, PRASHA 19 7 3 8 4.33 8 4.67 6 5.00 8 4.67	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.74 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 3 4.5 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.37 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 3 4.5 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.37 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 3 4.5 3 4.5	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 4.43 3 3.71	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Nashold, Lisa 51 8 19 8 4.21 7 4.37 9 4.11 9 4.37	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 4 4 4	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair.	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5 4.5	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 3 4.5 5 4.2 3 4.3	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 3.71 0 3.71 7 4.43	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Nashold, Lisa 51 19 4.21 4.37 4.37 4.36 4.26	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85 4.85
Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair. The instructor was available for consultation in the form	9 4.6 4.6 4.9 4.5 4.5 4.5 0f 4.0	SHARMA, PRASHA 0 8 0 3 3 4.4 3 4.5 0 4.8 5 4.2 3 4.3 0 4.4	N SHARMA, PRASHA 8 20 0 7 7 4.43 0 4.43 7 4.86 0 3.71 7 4.43	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Nashold, Lisa 51 4.21 4.37 4.437 4.37 4.37 4.36 4.26	AMANN, KURT 8 1 5 4 5 5 5 5 5	EHRLICH, David 17 1 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5	Hartman, Scott 36 20 4.85 4.75 5.00 4.75 4.85 4.85

Section		ZOOLOGY 400 007						
Detail	•	ISSIGONIS, MELANII	•	,,	Gerstein, Hilary	•	•	RAGSDALE, AARON
Total Surveyed	14	14	28	25		31	73	11
Total Completed	12	12	15	14	9	16	29	5
The instructor explained the relationships among								
concepts/course topics.	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.86		4.69	4.28	4.40
Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.86			3.93	4.60
The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	5.00	5.00	4.73	4.93	4.78	4.94	4.83	4.60
The instructor stimulated my interest.	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.79	4.78	4.75	3.97	4.40
The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,								
and requirements clearly.	5.00	5.00	3.93	4.79	4.56	4.19	3.93	4.40
Class meetings were well planned and organized.	4.92	4.92	3.80	4.79	4.56	4.13	4.00	4.60
Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from th	is							
instructor.	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.86	4.67	4.50	3.79	4.80
This instructor's methods for evaluating student								
performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)								
were well designed and fair.	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.77	4.22	4.56	3.90	4.20
The instructor was available for consultation in the form	of							
emails, questions after class, and office hours.	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.79	4.56	4.56	4.34	4.40
Avera	ge 4.99	4.99	4.33	4.82	4.59	4.56	4.11	4.49
Section	700L0GY 470 001	70010GY 500 001	700L0GY 510 001	700L0GY 510 001	700L0GY 520 001	700L0GY 521 301	700L0GY 521 302	700L0GY 521 303
Section Detail		ZOOLOGY 500 001						
Detail	HARDIN, Jeff	AMANN, KURT	Jensen, Olaf	LYONS, John	Pidgeon, Anna	Pidgeon, Anna	Pidgeon, Anna	Pidgeon, Anna
Detail Total Surveyed	HARDIN, Jeff 43	AMANN, KURT 133	Jensen, Olaf 99	LYONS, John 99	Pidgeon, Anna 84	Pidgeon, Anna 18	Pidgeon, Anna 19	Pidgeon, Anna 21
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed	HARDIN, Jeff	AMANN, KURT	Jensen, Olaf	LYONS, John	Pidgeon, Anna 84	Pidgeon, Anna	Pidgeon, Anna	Pidgeon, Anna
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16	AMANN, KURT 133 35	Jensen, Olaf 99 46	LYONS, John 99 46	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81	133 35 3.46 3.63	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.81	133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81	133 35 3.46 3.63	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.81 4.69	3.46 3.63 3.51	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.81 4.69	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.81 4.69 4.69	3.46 3.63 3.51	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.81 4.69 4.69	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67
Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75 is	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03 3.49	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14 3.43	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67
Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75 is 4.81	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03 3.49	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14 3.43	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67
Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair. The instructor was available for consultation in the form	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.69 4.75 is 4.81 4.44	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03 3.49 4.00	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52 4.50	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00 4.12	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40 2.90	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14 3.43	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67 4.00
Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair.	HARDIN, Jeff 43 16 4.75 4.81 4.69 4.75 is 4.81 4.44 of	AMANN, KURT 133 35 3.46 3.63 3.66 3.51 3.97 4.03 3.49	Jensen, Olaf 99 46 4.52 4.48 4.63 4.37 4.30 4.52	LYONS, John 99 46 4.57 4.59 4.65 4.48 4.39 4.52	Pidgeon, Anna 84 50 4.10 3.98 4.16 3.74 3.96 4.00 4.12 3.78	Pidgeon, Anna 18 10 2.90 3.10 3.50 2.90 3.56 3.40 2.90 3.50	Pidgeon, Anna 19 7 3.57 3.57 3.71 3.71 4.14 3.43	Pidgeon, Anna 21 6 3.50 3.67 3.83 3.33 4.17 3.67

Section	ZOOLOGY 521 304	ZOOLOGY 611 001	ZOOLOGY 612 001	ZOOLOGY 612 002	ZOOLOGY 620 001	ZOOLOGY 655 001	ZOOLOGY 677 001	ZOOLOGY 725 001
Detail	Pidgeon, Anna	Hartman, Scott	Hartman, Scott	Hartman, Scott	Nashold, Lisa	GRINBLAT, YEVGEN	Richerson, Hannah N	WILKINSON, Grace
Total Surveyed	17	63	18	18	22	16	14	16
Total Completed	8	33	12	8	7	5	7	8
The instructor explained the relationships among								
concepts/course topics.	3.63	4.58	4.08	4.50	4.57	4.20	4.71	5.00
Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	3.88	4.67	4.17	4.63	4.43	4.40	5.00	5.00
The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	3.88	4.73	4.33	4.63	4.86	4.60	4.86	5.00
The instructor stimulated my interest.	4.00	4.64	4.08	4.50	4.29	4.40	4.71	4.88
The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,								
and requirements clearly.	4.13	4.58	4.17	4.50	4.29	4.20	4.86	4.88
Class meetings were well planned and organized.	4.00	4.55	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.86	5.00
Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from th	is							
instructor.	3.88	4.61	4.00	4.63	4.29	4.20	4.86	4.88
This instructor's methods for evaluating student								
performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)								
were well designed and fair.	4.00	4.36	4.17	4.25	4.43	4.40	5.00	5.00
The instructor was available for consultation in the form	of							
emails, questions after class, and office hours.	3.75	4.34	4.17	4.38	4.14	4.60	5.00	4.88
Avera	ge 3.90	4.56	4.15	4.50	4.40	4.33	4.87	4.94
Section			ZOOLOGY 911 001					
Detail	Kucharik, Christoph	TURNER, MONICA	DUGAN, HILARY	DUGAN, HILARY	LEE, Carol	DRERUP, Katie		
Detail Total Surveyed	Kucharik, Christoph 7	TURNER, MONICA 7	DUGAN, HILARY	DUGAN, HILARY 9	LEE, Carol	DRERUP, Katie 2		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed	Kucharik, Christoph	TURNER, MONICA 7	DUGAN, HILARY	DUGAN, HILARY	LEE, Carol	DRERUP, Katie 2		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5	TURNER, MONICA 7 5	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5	LEE, Carol 5 2	DRERUP, Katie 2 2		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60	LEE, Carol 5 2 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40	LEE, Carol 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80	LEE, Carol 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40	LEE, Carol 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations,	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.67	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.20	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.67	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.67 4.50	9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from thinstructor.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.20	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.67 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.67 4.50	9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques)	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00 is	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60 4.60 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50	9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00 is	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60 4.60 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 5.00		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair. The instructor was available for consultation in the form	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.00 4.00 is 4.20	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60 4.60 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 4.50		
Detail Total Surveyed Total Completed The instructor explained the relationships among concepts/course topics. Overall, this instructor was an effective teacher. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject. The instructor stimulated my interest. The instructor defined course objectives, expectations, and requirements clearly. Class meetings were well planned and organized. Regardless of the grade I received, I learned a lot from the instructor. This instructor's methods for evaluating student performance (e.g. assignments and grading techniques) were well designed and fair.	Kucharik, Christoph 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60 4.00 is 4.20 4.20 6 4.20	TURNER, MONICA 7 5 4.40 4.60 4.60 4.60 4.20 4.00 4.80	DUGAN, HILARY 18 6 4.67 4.67 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50 4.50	DUGAN, HILARY 9 5 4.60 4.40 4.80 4.60 4.60 4.60	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	DRERUP, Katie 2 2 4.50 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 4.50 4.50 5.00		

ZOOLOGY TAs												
Term	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring		
Survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey		
Section	ZOOLOGY 102 0	001 ZOOLOGY 102 004	ZOOLOGY 102 00	5 ZOOLOGY 102 007	7 ZOOLOGY 102 008	ZOOLOGY 102 010	ZOOLOGY 260 001	ZOOLOGY 300 001	ZOOLOGY 301 001	ZOOLOGY 301 001		
Detail	Sherman, Kayla	Da Silva Ribeiro, Tia	ago Wyant, Jasmine	Kepner, Olivia	Grebe, Christine	Mccullough, Connor	Book, Kristian	Setton, Emily	Abshire, Kaitlyn	Klementz, Benjamin		
Total Surveyed		52		.9 5							4	
Total Completed		29	32 1	.2 3:	3 3	3 18	3 34	4 26	5 9	9 9	,	
The TA presented the material in an interesting												
and engaging way.	4	1.48	4.45 4.4	12 4.3	4 4.63	3 4.49	9 4.15	5 4.04	4 4.0	3 4.17	1	
Considering everything (class size, course												
objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective teacher.	4	1.63	4.56 4.4	12 4.60	0 4.8	5 4.49	9 4.21	1 4.12	2 4.1	7 4.58	3	
,,,,												
The TA was clear in presenting subject matter.	,		4.45	12	- 4.0		. 42	4 40	4 4 0	. 4.50		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4.45 4.3									
The TA was well organized.	4	1.47	4.69 4.5	50 4.7	5 4.8	5 4.69	9 4.33	3 4.04	4 4.1	7 4.25	,	
The TA made me feel comfortable to ask												
questions.	4	1.55	4.74 4.3	3 4.7	1 4.8	5 4.84	4.42	2 4.16	6 4.50	0 4.67	1	
Assignments and tests handled by the TA were												
returned promptly with useful feedback.	/	1.73	4.37 4.4	12 4.4	6 4.70	9.48	3 4.00	3.88	8 4.2	5 4.25	;	
The TA was available during office hours or by	4		4.5	- 4.4	4.70	4.40	4.00	3.00	4.2.	4.2.		
		1.25	4.62								•	
appointment.			4.63 4.5									
	4	1.54	4.56 4.4	12 4.5	8 4.7	7 4.51	1 4.23	3 4.03	3 4.2	3 4.42	1	
ZOOLOGY TAs												
Term	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring			
Survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey			
			•		•	ZOOLOGY 521 303	-	-	•			
Section		301 ZOOLOGY 511 001						ZOOLOGY 611 001				
Detail	Kerns, Emily	Reynolds, Max	Smith, Quinn	Buron, Ryan	Olah, Ashley	Gordon, Nicole	Menon, Michael	Askapuli, Ayken	Kufner, Aaron			
Total Surveyed		73		14 13					3 30	5		
Total Completed		29	18 1	.8 1	1 8	3 7	7 10) 29	9 2:	1		
The TA presented the material in an interesting												
and engaging way.	3	3.75	4.58 4.8	34 5.0	0 4.63	3 4.86	5 4.80	3.79	5 4.3	5		
8-66	-	,,,,,		5.0				5.7.5				
Considering everything (class size, course												
	_				_					_		
objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective teacher.	3	3.96	4.69 4.7	74 5.0	0 4.63	3 4.71	1 4.90	3.96	6 4.5	1		
The TA was clear in presenting subject matter.	4	1.20	4.78 4.7	78 5.0	0 4.7	4.57	7 4.80	3 4.00	0 4.5	3		
The TA was well organized.	4	1.20	4.78 4.7	78 5.0	0 5.0	4.57	7 4.80	3.96	6 4.49	9		
The TA made me feel comfortable to ask												
questions.	4	1.14	4.58 4.7	9 5.0	0 4.63	3 4.71	1 4.90	3.96	6 4.53	2		
questions.	-		4.50 4.7	3 3.0	0 4.0.	4.7.	4.50	3.30	4.5.	,		
A colonia and and tooks benedled by the TA												
Assignments and tests handled by the TA were					_			_	_	_		
returned promptly with useful feedback.	3	3.78	4.73 4.7	73 4.83	2 4.7	5 4.71	1 4.90	3.82	2 4.43	3		
The TA was available during office hours or by												
appointment.	4	1.35	4.66 4.6	51 4.4	0 4.2	4.29	9 4.90	3.70	0 4.39	9		
	4	1.06	4.68 4.7	75 4.89	9 4.60	5 4.63	3 4.86	3.88	3 4.40	6		
								-	-	-		
454 51 11 154												
151 Disc and Lab TAs												
Term	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2024 Spring	2025 Spring	2026 Spring	2027 Spring	2028 Spring	2029 Spring
Survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey
		Dis: ZOOLOGY 151 - Dis	·	•	•	sı ZOOLOGY 151 - Lab	•	•		b ZOOLOGY 151 - Lab	•	Lab ZOOLOGY 151 - Lab
	I Isabella Holland	Jasmine Weyek	Sophia Elworthy	Viviane "Vivi" Peder		Andrea Mitchell		Jorge De los santos		Julie Gerrits	Mark Fuka	Rob Melde
							<u> </u>					
Total Surveyed		72	99	67	104	35	64	54	64	67	62	20
Total Completed		34	62	52	79	17	21	22	29	26	26	8
The TA presented the material in an interesting												
and engaging way	. 4.67	4.59	4.74	4.88	4.54	4.82	4.62	4.14	4.34	4.38	4.54	4.75
Considering everything (class size, course	2											
objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective teacher		4.53	4.61	4.77	4.52	4.71	4.38	4.09	4.45	4.42	4.56	4.88
objectives, etc.,, the 1A was an effective teacher	. 4.70	4.55	4.01	4.//	4.32	÷./±	4.50	+.05	+.43	7.42	4.50	7.00
The TA consideration of the Constant		. ==				. = -						-
The TA was clear in presenting subject matter		4.79	4.82	4.92	4.44	4.76	4.76	4.14	3.86	4.54	4.69	5
The TA was well organized		4.62	4.73	4.88	4.77	4.88	4.81	4.41	4.59	4.38	4.6	4.75
The TA made me feel comfortable to ask	(
questions	4 57	4.26	4.52	4.76	4.65	4.71	4.49	4.14	4.41	4.27	1 16	4.00

questions.

4.57

4.26

4.53

4.76

4.65

4.71

4.48

4.14

4.41

4.27 4.46 4.88

Assignments and tests handled by the	TA were												
returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho		4.81	4.65	4.66	4.84	4.47	4.12	4.57	3.91	3.24	4.08	4.23	4.63
=	intment.	4.8	4.65	4.84	4.71	4.75	4.65	4.76	4.05	3.97	4.42	4.58	4.88
		4.74	4.58	4.70	4.82	4.59	4.66	4.63	4.13	4.12	4.36	4.52	4.82
L52 Disc and Lab TAs													
				2023 Spring	2023 Spring	2023 Spring iBio TA survey	2023 Spring	2023 Spring iBio TA survey	2023 Spring	2023 Spring iBio TA survey	2024 Spring iBio TA survey	2025 Spring	2026 Spring
		Bio TA survey iBi OOLOGY 152 - Dis ZO	•	iBio TA survey ZOOLOGY 152 - [iBio TA survey Dis: ZOOLOGY 152 - I	•	iBio TA survey Disc ZOOLOGY 152 - Dis	•	iBio TA survey Disc ZOOLOGY 152 - Di		•	iBio TA survey ZOOLOGY 152 - La	iBio TA survey ab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lab
									Aishwarya				
Tatal		teven Augustine Isa	•	Evan Eiffler	Elliot Hendry	Cecelia Stokes	Angelica Bautista	Alex Murphy	Veerabahu	Alex Krause	Allison Kneisel	Anne Weerda	Bryce Solin
Total Co	Surveyed	140 18	113 15	11					.07 11 18 2				48 47 5 7
The TA presented the material in an in		10	15	-		20	21	10	10 2		.1	0	,
and engag		4.71	4.20	4.5	50 4.	.64 4.	.55 4.	61 3	.94 4.8	3.8	32 4.7	75 4	.8 3.43
Considering everything (class size	o courco												
objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective		4.82	4.00	4.5	54 4.	.72 4.	.70 4.	71 4	.29 4.7	8 4.	.3 4.7	75 4	.8 3.43
,													5.15
The TA was clear in presenting subjec		4.82	4.13	4.4			.65 4.		.12 4.8				.8 3.57
The TA was well or	-	4.59	3.87	4.6	52 4.	.72 4.	.30 4.	54 3.	.88 4.7	8 4.	.4 4.8	38 4	.8 3.43
The TA made me feel comfortab		4.94	4.33	4.8	02 4	.80 4.	.80 4.	75 4	.29 4.8	37 4.	.3 4.6	- 4	.8 3.57
ч	uestions.	4.94	4.55	4.0	35 4.	ou 4.	.60 4.	/5 4.	29 4.6	, 4.	.5 4.0		.6 5.57
Assignments and tests handled by the	TA were												
returned promptly with useful f	eedback.	4.88	4.20	4.5	58 4.	.60 4.	.70 4.	57 4	.35 4.9	1 3.1	18 4.3	38 4	.8 3
The TA was available during office ho													
арро	intment.	4.88	4.27	4.7			.70 4.		.71 4.8				.8 4
		4.81	4.14	4.6)1 4.	.68 4.	.63 4.	5/ 4.	.23 4.8	3.9	99 4.7	72 4.8	3.49
	Term 20	027 Spring 20	28 Spring	2029 Spring	2030 Spring	2031 Spring	2032 Spring	2033 Spring	2034 Spring	2035 Spring	2036 Spring	2037 Spring	2038 Spring
	Survey iB	Bio TA survey iBio	o TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey	iBio TA survey
		OOLOGY 152 - Lab ZO					Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lab				ab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lab		ab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lab
T . 14		harlton Rodriguez Co		Craig Kunkel	Eldon Ager	Elizabeth Locke	_	Korri Burnett	Landy Damian	Lena Berry	Lloyd Kirk	Matthew Smith	Michael Smale
Total Co	Surveyed	43 3	66 7			47 11		47 9	44 4				12 48 4 9
The TA presented the material in an in		3	,	-	15	11	0	3	,	,	4	· ·	4
and engag	_	3.5	4.29	3.6	67 3.	.55 4	.25 4	22	3 4.	.8 4.6	57 4.1	_	33 4.33
6									3 4.	·	1/ 4	17 4.3	13 4.33
Considering everything (class size objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective									3 4.	.0 4.	37 4	1/ 4.3	4.33
,	teacher.	4	4	3.3	13 3.								
The TA	teacher.	4	4	3.9	33 3.		4.5 4.		3.2 4.				
The TA was clear in presenting subjec	t matter.	4	3.86	3.4	42 3.	.27 4	4.5 4. .63 4.	67 3	3.2 4. 3.2 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3	57 4.1 33 4.	.5 3.3	33 4.44 33 3.89
The TA was well o	t matter. rganized.				42 3.	.27 4	4.5 4.	67 3	3.2 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3	57 4.1 33 4.	.5 3.3	33 4.44 33 3.89
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortab	t matter. rganized. ble to ask	4 3.5	3.86 3.86	3.4 3.8	42 3. 83 4.	.27 4 .09 4.	.63 4. .75 4.	67 3 33 3 89 3	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4.	8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4.	.5 3.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortab	t matter. rganized.	4	3.86	3.4	42 3. 83 4.	.27 4 .09 4.	4.5 4. .63 4.	67 3 33 3 89 3	3.2 4. 3.2 4.	8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4.	.5 3.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortal q Assignments and tests handled by the	t matter. rganized. ole to ask uestions. TA were	4 3.5	3.86 3.86 3.86	3.4 3.8	42 3. 83 4.	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.	4.5 4.63 4.75 4.13 4.	67 : 33 : 389 : 3	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.3	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f	t matter. rganized. ble to ask uestions. TA were eedback.	4 3.5	3.86 3.86	3.4 3.8	42 3. 83 4.	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.	.63 4. .75 4.	67 : 33 : 389 : 3	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.3	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. ble to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by	4 3.5 3	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29	3.4 3.8 3.6	42 3. 83 4. 67 25 3.	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.	4.5 4.663 475 413 488 4	67 : 33 : 389 : 33 : 222 : :	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.3	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. ble to ask uestions. TA were eedback.	4 3.5 3 4 3	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29	3.4 3.6 3.2 3.3	42 3.83 4.667 3.83 4.	27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27	4.5 4.63 4.75 4.13 4.88 4.5 4.5 4.65	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 567 : 5	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. ble to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by	4 3.5 3	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29	3.4 3.8 3.6	42 3.83 4.667 3.83 4.	27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27	4.5 4.663 475 413 488 4	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 567 : 5	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 4 4
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. ble to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment.	4 3.5 3 4 3 3.57 039 Spring 20	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08	3.6 3.6 3.3 3.2 2041 Spring	42 3. 83 4. 67 25 3. 83 4. 57 3.	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27 4.2043 Spring	4.5 4. 6.63 4. 7.75 4. 1.13 4. 8.88 4. 4.5 4. 2044 Spring	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 567 : 5	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. ole to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment. Term 20 Survey iB	4 3.5 3 4 3 3.57 039 Spring 20 Sio TA survey iBio	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08	3.4 3.8 3.2 3.3 3.9 2041 Spring iBio TA survey	42 3.83 4.667 3.83 4.57 3.2042 Spring iBio TA survey	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27 4.2043 Spring iBio TA survey	4.5 4.663 4.675 4.613 4.613 4.6145 4.	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 22 : 248 : 3.	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho	t matter. rganized. le to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment. Term 2(Survey ii Section 20	4 3.5 3 4 4 3 3.57 039 Spring 20.8 io TA survey iiBio OOLOGY 152 - Lab ZO	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08 40 Spring 5 TA survey OLOGY 152 - Lab	3.4 3.8 3.2 3.8 3.9 2041 Spring iBio TA survey ZOOLOGY 152 - L	42 3.83 4.667 25 3.83 4.57 3. 2042 Spring iBio TA survey ab ZOOLOGY 152 - I	.27 409 409 43 455 327 42043 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - 1	4.5 463 475 413 488 4. 4.5 438 42044 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lak	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 22 : 248 : 3.	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho appo	t matter. rganized. ole to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment. Term 20 Survey i8 Section 20 Detail M	4 3.5 3 4 3 3.57 039 Spring 20 8io TA survey iBio 00LOGY 152 - Lab ZO 0fichelle Homann Nice	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08 40 Spring o TA survey OLOGY 152 - Lab	3.4 3.8 3.2 3.3 3.5 2041 Spring iBio TA survey ZOOLOGY 152 - L Nikola (Nick) Mili	42 3.883 4.667 25 3.883 4.557 3. 2042 Spring iBio TA survey ab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lioc Ruiling Zhong	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27 4.55 4.2043 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Sam Hermanstor	4.5 463 475 413 488 4. 4.5 4. 38 4. 2044 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lat rfer Sarah Becker	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 22 : 48 : 3.	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho appo	t matter. rganized. le to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment. Term 2(Survey ii Section 20	4 3.5 3 4 4 3 3.57 039 Spring 20.8 io TA survey iiBio OOLOGY 152 - Lab ZO	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08 40 Spring 5 TA survey OLOGY 152 - Lab	3.6 3.8 3.2 3.2 2041 Spring iBio TA survey zOOLOGY 152 - L Nikola (Nick) Mili	42 3.83 4.667 25 3.83 4.557 3.2042 Spring iBio TA survey ab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lioc Ruiling Zhong 47	.27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.555 3.027 4.2043 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Sam Hermanston	4.5 463 475 413 488 4. 4.5 438 42044 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lak rfer Sarah Becker	67 : 33 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 22 : 248 : 3.	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44
The TA was well or The TA made me feel comfortat q Assignments and tests handled by the returned promptly with useful f The TA was available during office ho appo	t matter. rganized. ole to ask uestions. TA were eedback. urs or by intment. Term 20 Survey ii Section 20 Detail M Surveyed impleted teresting	4 3.5 3 4 4 3.57 20.39 Spring 20.68 20 TA survey iBi OOLOGY 152 - Lab ZO dichelle Homann Nico 24	3.86 3.86 3.86 4.29 4.43 4.08 40 Spring 5 TA survey OLOGY 152 - Lab tholas Labracke	3.6 3.8 3.2 3.2 2041 Spring iBio TA survey zOOLOGY 152 - L Nikola (Nick) Mili	42 3.83 4.667 25 3.83 4.557 3. 2042 Spring iBio TA survey ab ZOOLOGY 152 - 1 tioc Rulling Zhong 47 3	27 4.09 4.09 4.3 4.55 3.27 4.55 4.2043 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - I SOOLOGY 152 - I SOOLOGY 152 - I SOOLOGY 153 -	4.5 4. .63 4. .75 4. .13 4. .88 4. 4.5 4. .38 4. 2044 Spring iBio TA survey Lab ZOOLOGY 152 - Lat rfer Sarah Becker 42 5	67 : 333 : 389 : 333 : 22 : 567 : 3.48 : 3.50	3.2 4. 3.2 4. 3.6 4. 2.8 4. 1.8 4.	8 4.6 8 4.3 8 4.6 8 4.6 8 4.6	57 4.1 33 4. 57 4. 33 4.1 57 4.1	17 4.3 .5 3.3 .5 4.3 17 4.3 .5 4.3	33 4.44 33 3.89 33 4.44 4 4 33 4.33 33 4.44

Considering everything (class size, course						
objectives, etc.), the TA was an effective teacher.	2	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.2	4.5
The TA was clear in presenting subject matter.	2.67	4.17	3.33	4.56	4	4.75
The TA was well organized.	2.67	4.67	3.33	4.89	4.2	5
The TA made me feel comfortable to ask						
questions.	1.67	4.5	3	3.89	3	4.75
Assignments and tests handled by the TA were						
returned promptly with useful feedback.	2.33	4.67	3.33	4.67	3.4	5
The TA was available during office hours or by						
appointment.	3	4.67	4	4.67	4.8	4.5
	2.36	4.55	3.43	4.56	3.94	4.79